

# 1 Title

2 Genetic and clonal structures of the tree species *Tilia cordata* Mill. in remnants of ancient forests in  
3 Denmark.

# 4 Authors

5 Eva Ortvald Erichsen<sup>1\*</sup>, Kirsten Wolff<sup>2</sup> and Ole K. Hansen<sup>1</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup> University of Copenhagen, Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management,  
7 Rolighedsvej 23, 1958 Frederiksberg, Denmark

8 <sup>2</sup> Newcastle University, School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle NE1 7RU, UK

9 \*Corresponding author [eo@ign.ku.dk](mailto:eo@ign.ku.dk)

# 10 Abstract

11 The insect-pollinated forest tree *Tilia cordata* Mill. grows today in small fragmented populations in  
12 Denmark and other western European countries but was, in pre-historic times, a dominating species  
13 and is considered an indicator species for ancient forest. The species is known to propagate both  
14 sexually and vegetatively, forming clonal groups. Few studies have been made on the species'  
15 population genetics and on how clonality affects the population structure. The aim of this study is to  
16 evaluate the Danish gene pool by estimating genetic diversity and differentiation, as well as through  
17 exhaustive sampling describe clonal structures in some of the populations. Genetic analysis was  
18 carried out using nine nuclear microsatellite markers in nine populations, of which four were  
19 exhaustively or partly exhaustively sampled. The markers showed a high degree of genetic diversity  
20 but low differentiation between populations, with no geographic related structure. Clonal structures  
21 were found in eight out of the nine populations. In the exhaustively sampled populations,  
22 recruitment strategies included both sexual and clonal reproduction with indications that clonality  
23 may be enhanced by management and other disturbances.

24

25

26 **Keywords:** clonality, clonal reproduction, heterozygosity, population genetics, *Tilia cordata*

## 27 **Introduction**

28 *Tilia cordata* mill. (small-leaved lime) is a tree species indigenous to Denmark where it has a highly  
29 scattered and fragmented distribution and is considered a marginal forest tree species (Lawesson  
30 2004). Further, it is known as an indicator species for ancient forests in northern Europe, with  
31 ancient being defined as forests where the forest cover has been persistent but often under  
32 management schemes, such as coppicing. It should therefore not be mistaken for virgin forest,  
33 untouched by man (Hermy, Honnay, & Firbank, 1999; Lawesson, 2004; Rackham, 2008).

34 The genus *Tilia* consists of 23 forest tree species, all insect pollinated, mainly found in the  
35 temperate zone in the northern hemisphere, with the only exception of two species found in tropical  
36 Vietnam and Mexico (Pigott, 2012). Four species of *Tilia* are native to Europe (*T. cordata*, *T.*  
37 *platyphyllos*, *T. dasystyla* and *T. tomentosa*) and of these, only *T. cordata* and *T. platyphyllos* grow  
38 in northern Europe. *Tilia cordata*, with the most widespread distribution, reaches from the British  
39 Isles in the west to Siberia in the east, from Norway in the north to northern Greece in the south  
40 (Pigott, 2012). After the icecaps from the last glacial maximum had retreated, *Tilia* was the  
41 dominant species in the forests of Northern and Western Europe during the warm Atlantic period  
42 ca. 7000 to 4000 before present, a partly overlapping period in Denmark is known as the “*Tilia*  
43 period” and spans from 9000 to 2500 years before present (Rehfeldt, 1994). Around 4000 years ago,  
44 this started to change as *Fagus sylvatica* began to establish and take over as the dominating tree.  
45 This transition in the forest composition is believed to be a result of a mix of natural and  
46 anthropogenic processes (Turner, 1962).

47  
48 In Denmark, *Tilia* spp. also used to be a dominant species, but is today only found in a few scattered  
49 populations across the country and mainly with few individuals in each population. Lawesson  
50 (2004) only found *T. cordata* in 77 forests out of 1100 surveyed Danish forests and *T. platyphyllos*  
51 even more rarely. Of the 77 forests, *T. cordata* was only abundant in three of them, and in 53 of the  
52 forests, fewer than 50 individuals were observed. Pigott (1991) reports that the species rarely re-  
53 enters a forest once removed, which is the prime reason that it can be used as an indicator for  
54 ancient forest (Hermy et al., 1999; Rackham, 2008). At the northern edge of the species distribution  
55 successful production of seeds only occurs in years with above-average warm summers; if  
56 temperatures fall below 15°C pollen tube growth is inhibited and seeds will not be fertile (Pigott &  
57 Huntley, 1981). Vegetative propagation on the other hand is common, forming clonal structures, as  
58 *T. cordata* sprouts with great vigour when coppiced (Pigott, 1989, 1991). Trees also spread from

fallen trunks or branches touching the ground that may become rooted and produce new vertical shoots (E.O. Erichsen *pers. obs.*). A pilot study by Hansen et al. (2014) studied individuals from seven populations of *T. cordata* in western Denmark and found abundant clonal structures in two of them. Clonal structures have also been noticed but not specifically analysed in Britain (Logan, Phuekvilai, & Wolff, 2015). They found about 25 per cent of the trees in a typical stand to belong to clonal groups. Recently clonal structures in *Tilia* spp. have been under review in northern Europe where a trend towards more clonality in the leading range-edge populations than in centre populations was found (Logan, Phuekvilai, Sanderson, & Wolff, 2019).

Many plant species employ a mix of clonal and sexual reproduction (Ellstrand & Roose, 1987; Stuefer, Van Hulzen, & During, 2002) as a strategy to survive when environmental conditions are not optimal (Honnay & Bossuyt, 2005). However, clonality may be disadvantageous if the population becomes too homogeneous genetically and, therefore, making the population susceptible to biotic stress and pathogens due to the lack of adaptive potential (Hamilton, 1980). Guerrilla based growth of the clonal structures, where genets are intermixed, as opposed to phalanx where the genet form a tight-packed front of ramets, helps to maintain sexual reproduction as the genotypes are intermixed (Doust, 1981). Clonal populations are likely to preserve genetic variation as the asexual reproduction decreases the impact from genetic drift (Delmotte, Leterme, Gauthier, Rispe, & Simon, 2002; Judson & Normark, 1996). Under the assumption that a decrease in heterozygosity of neutral markers reflects a decrease in variation in genes relevant for performance, it can serve as a proxy for inbreeding depression (Hedrick, 1999; Sunnucks, 2000; Tsitrone, Rousset, & David, 2001).

The fragmented population structure of *T. cordata* and poor ability to establish in new areas gives rise to the hypothesis that the populations may have been isolated and, therefore, prone to genetic drift over a long time. Fragmentation generally has a negative impact on genetic diversity; especially for species with less mobile pollinators (Lowe, Cavers, Boshier, Breed, & Hollingsworth, 2015). Due to being one of the few Danish insect pollinated forest tree species and to the earlier widespread distribution, considerable biodiversity values are associated with the species (Pigott, 1991). Also, with the anticipated climatic change (IPCC, 2014), where Denmark will experience a warmer climate (Olesen et al., 2014), the species may again become more widespread where it will be allowed to spread. This could be in close-to-nature forest management systems, where natural regeneration is a key component and under which all Danish public forests should be managed

90 (Larsen, 2012). If this happens, knowledge about the amount of genetic variation in the remnant  
91 Danish population of *Tilia* will be highly valuable, as it is this gene pool that a future and  
92 potentially larger and more widespread population should build upon.

93 In the present study, the overall aim is to investigate the population genetic structure of *T. cordata*  
94 in Denmark and to which extent the populations contain clonal structures. Hereby we want to  
95 increase the knowledge of *T. cordata* populations in northern range-edge populations exemplified in  
96 Denmark. More specifically, the study has two concrete objectives. Firstly, to characterise the  
97 population genetic structure of *T. cordata* in Denmark with regard to the diversity and  
98 differentiation of populations; i.e. to what extent fragmentation of the populations has had an impact  
99 on the gene pool and left signs of inbreeding. Secondly, to take a deeper look into the clonal  
100 structures and the reproductive patterns found in *T. cordata* populations in Denmark; this is  
101 achieved by exhaustive sampling in a selection of populations.

## 102 **Materials and Methods**

### 103 ***Study sites and sampling methods***

104 In total 774 trees were sampled from nine populations, mainly across southern Denmark (Fig. 1).  
105 All nine populations are considered to be of ancient or natural origin and with, for the species in  
106 Denmark, a substantial number of trees (Lawesson, 2004; Wicksell, 1998). The majority of the  
107 populations are located on glacial till with loamy texture, only Draved is located to the west of the  
108 Late Weichselian glacial maximums western border where parent material of the soil is  
109 glaciofluvial sand populations (Houmark-Nielsen, 1989). Two of the populations were exhaustively  
110 sampled and another two were partly exhaustively sampled. In the five remaining populations  
111 (Hamborgskoven, Frejlev, Aasen, Aabybjerg and Draved) samples were taken from 32 to 48 trees  
112 spread across the populations (stratified sampling; Table 1) – with trees often standing in smaller  
113 groups and where the typical distance between sampled trees being >10m, while groups could be  
114 more than 100 metres apart. Only trees with a minimum of 2 cm in diameter at breast height (DBH)  
115 were included in the study. The sites where exhaustively and partly exhaustively sampling was  
116 carried out are characterised as areas where *T. cordata* is dominating in the crown layer. The  
117 population Lindoe is from a small peninsula in the lake Røgballe, with no risk of edge effects. For  
118 Bolderslev South, Bolderslev North (both sub-populations in the Bolderslev site) and Jonstrupvang  
119 sampling was continued until no more neighbours of *T. cordata* were found, and the patch was

120 hereby considered to be fully sampled. In addition to the areas in Bolderslev that were partly  
121 exhaustively sampled, two dykes from the southern and northern part of the forest were also  
122 sampled, as well as a small group of *T. cordata* in the north. In Vindeholme sampling was done in  
123 two neighbouring stands with different characteristics with regards to DBH, stem number and  
124 height. As these relatively large stands consist solely of *T. cordata*, sampling was limited to one  
125 corner of each of the two stands.

### 126 ***Stand history for the exhaustively sampled populations***

127 Lindoe, Bolderslev, and Jonstrupvang are all locations with no silvicultural activities today whereas  
128 Vindeholme is a production forest with *T. cordata* as the main species. Looking at the first known  
129 historical description of Jonstrupvang from 1660 the area was fenced as the King's hunting ground  
130 with only limited access to the public. When the land was handed over to the state in the late-mid  
131 19<sup>th</sup> century it was reported that it was difficult to sell the timber as *T. cordata* was of no interest  
132 (Worsøe, 1988). Both Bolderslev and Lindoe show signs of former disturbances. Bolderslev was  
133 used for primarily firewood production for local farmers from the mid-18<sup>th</sup> century, up through the  
134 end of the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Skov- og Naturstyrelsen, 1998). This may explain the absence of large trees  
135 in Bolderslev as there was a large demand for wood after WWI and WWII. Lindoe was under  
136 protection from 1957 (Overfredningsnævnet, 1957). However, decaying stumps, seen while  
137 sampling for this study, showed that some cuttings have been carried out rather late, likely in 2005,  
138 before the appointment as forest reserve as it is today.

### 139 ***Growth and spatial data***

140 Leaves were collected for DNA extraction in the autumn 2015 and DBH of sampled trees was  
141 measured on the same occasion. Both *T. cordata* and *T. platyphyllos* were sampled as it can be  
142 difficult to distinguish the two species in the field. For the exhaustively and partly exhaustively  
143 sampled populations, trees were marked for later identification. In spring 2016, before flushing, the  
144 three populations Bolderslev, Vindeholme and Lindoe were revisited to obtain spatial information  
145 of the sampled trees. To obtain precise information of location for all the trees, coordinates were  
146 measured manually. This was done by letting a GPS Trimble XP pro (Trimble, USA) receive  
147 approx. 1000 signals on position and use this point as a centre point. From this centre point the  
148 distance and bearing to each tree were measured and, the coordinates were calculated. Within clone  
149 groups, internal distances among all ramets were measured with an ultrasound measurer (Vertex VI,  
150 Haglöfs, Sweden).

151 ***Nuclear microsatellites***

152 DNA was extracted from the sampled leaf material using the 96 format kit from Qiagen (Germany).  
153 Approximately 40 µg of fresh leave was used and the step-by-step procedure was followed.  
154 Genotyping was conducted using ten polymorphic microsatellites (SSRs) developed by Phuekvilai  
155 & Wolff (2013). One microsatellite, Tc23, which is not included in the final publication by  
156 Phuekvilai & Wolff (2013), was used in this current study. Tc23 was also used with success by  
157 (Hansen, Thomsen, & Rasmussen, 2014). The microsatellites were genotyped by multiplexing,  
158 using three different primer mixes: mix 1 containing the SSRs Tc6, Tc23, Tc918, Tc920 and Tc937,  
159 mix 2 containing the SSRs Tc915, Tc927 and Tc963 and mix 3 containing the SSRs Tc5 and  
160 Tc951. Tc918 amplifies in *T. platyphyllos* and the hybrid *T. x europaea* but not in *T. cordata* and  
161 has consequently been used for species identification (Phuekvilai & Wolff 2013), but was  
162 subsequently not included in the population genetic data analyses in the present study. Different  
163 master mixes were used for PCR amplification of the three primer mixes. For mix 1 the Qiagen  
164 multiplex PCR kit was used, and for mix 2 and 3 the Type-it Microsatellite PCR kit was used (both  
165 from Qiagen, Germany). DNA template was diluted 10 times and 0.5 µl of the diluted DNA  
166 template was used in the PCR for all 3 mixes. The protocol for amplification followed Phuekvilai &  
167 Wolff (2013).

168 Visualisation of the amplification products from the PCR was done by capillary electrophoresis on  
169 an ABI3130xl sequencer (Applied Biosystems). The PCR product was diluted 80 times for mix 1,  
170 130 times for mix 2 and 180 times for mix 3. The size standard GZ500LIZ was used. Data were  
171 scored using GeneMapper 4.0 (Applied Biosystems).

172 ***Identification of Clones***

173 Clones were identified using Cervus (Kalinowski, Taper, & Marshall, 2007; Marshall, Slate, Kruuk,  
174 & Pemberton, 1998). In cases where just one allele in one locus was different between two  
175 individuals, they were treated as identical. Since just one allele difference it can either be an error  
176 during genotyping or could have been due to a somatic mutation. To make sure that clones are not a  
177 product of sexual reproduction, the probability that two unrelated individuals by chance have the  
178 same genotype was calculated in GenAlEx 6.5 (Peakall & Smouse, 2012).

179 ***Population genetics***

180 In the following analyses only individuals of *T. cordata*, which constituted around 94% of the total  
181 number of sampled trees (see results), were included.

182 Genetic diversity in the nine populations was estimated as the number of alleles ( $N_a$ ), observed ( $H_o$ )  
183 and expected ( $H_e$ ) heterozygosity and the inbreeding coefficient ( $F$ ), all obtained with GenAlEx  
184 v6.5 (Peakall & Smouse, 2012). Correction from varying sample sizes in estimates for  $N_a$  was done  
185 via rarefaction with the software HP-rare (Kalinowski, 2005), where number of genes were set to  
186 the lowest number of samples (48 in Aasen).

187 Genetic differentiation among populations was estimated using both the classic  $F_{ST}$  (Wright, 1943)  
188 as well as the newer  $G''_{ST}$  (Meirmans & Hedrick, 2011).  $F_{ST}$  underestimates the differentiation  
189 between populations when calculated from markers with a high diversity, such as microsatellites  
190 (Hedrick 1999; 2005). However, former  $F_{ST}$  was included in order to facilitate comparison with  
191 earlier studies where  $F_{ST}$  often has been used. Estimation was performed in GenAlEx 6.5 with 9999  
192 permutations of pairwise  $F_{ST}$  and  $G''_{ST}$  values in order to assess statistical significance. A Mantel  
193 test was performed in R version 3.4.2 (packages: ade4, mantel.rtest), based on a Monte Carlo test  
194 with 9999 replications.

195 To test for genetic structure across populations we used a Bayesian clustering algorithm  
196 implemented in STRUCTURE 2.2 (Pritchard, Stephens, & Donnelly, 2000). We ran an admixture  
197 model with correlated allele frequencies among populations. Five runs were performed for each  
198 number of clusters ( $K$ : 1-9) with a burn-in of 50,000 and a run length of 100,000 iterations. The  
199 web-based version of Pophelper (Francis, 2016) was used to evaluate the STRUCTURE results and  
200 to determine the best number of clusters using the Evanno method (Evanno, Regnaut, & Goudet,  
201 2005).

202 To see if there were differences in heterozygosity and allele frequency between clonal and non-  
203 clonal genotypes, samples were divided into two groups in the Lindoe population and in the two  
204 exhaustively sampled areas in Bolderslev and then run in GenAlEx 6.5 using the same procedures  
205 as described previously for all the samples.

206 ***Clonality and Spatial Genetic Structure***

207 We assume that unique genotypes are a product of sexual reproduction. However, it could also be a  
208 last remaining ramet of a pre-existing clonal group and the number of clone groups detected this

way is therefore considered to be a minimum. To characterize the clonal structures in three of the exhaustively sampled areas we took three different dimensions into account: the spatial distribution, the genotypic diversity and the physical dimension (DBH) of the individual trees. For the genotypic diversity, we used the genotypic richness  $R$ . Clonality in each population was characterised by a measure of genotypic richness  $R = (G-1)/(n-1)$ , where  $n$  is the number of sampled ramets and  $G$  the number of Genets (Dorken & Eckert, 2001).  $R$  varies from 0, when all samples have the same genotype, to 1, when all samples have a different genotype. This gives an estimate of the proportion of individuals that are distinguishable and a basis for comparison across populations.

For the populations, Lindoe, Bolderslev South and Bolderslev North analyses of within-population spatial structures were performed by using the mingling index. The mingling index is a calculation of the probability that two neighbours have the same genotype by taking spatial information into account (Pommerening, 2002). The mingling index indicates the degree of clustering of the clones and if the clonal growth belongs to a phalanx strategy, where the species produce a tight-packed group of ramets, or a guerrilla strategy, where ramets are widely spaced and genet stands mixed (Doust, 1981). The mingling index gives the proportion of  $n=4$  nearest neighbours  $j$  of the  $i$ th reference tree, where  $j=1$  if it does not belong to the same genotype as  $i$ , and otherwise 0. This gives five potential values for each tree (0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1) and the mingling index for the population is then the average of these values for individual trees. The mingling index was calculated in R version 3.4.2, with the package *vegan*. Finally, the spatial extent of the clone groups was estimated by finding the centre point for clone groups consisting of three ramets or more and then measuring the distance of the ramets to the centre.

## Results

Out of the 774 sampled trees, 730 were *T. cordata*, while 44 *T. platyphyllos* or the hybrid *T. x europeae* were found in 5 out of 9 populations (Table 2). The 730 *T. cordata* trees contained 485 different genotypes, of which 104 constituted clone groups. The largest clone group was found in Bolderslev North and consisted of 27 ramets. However, the majority of clone groups (46 out of 104) consisted of just two ramets (Table 2). The probability of identity with the nine loci was  $2.7 \times 10^{-08}$ . If only one allele diverged between genotypes the individuals were considered to be identical – assuming the difference being due to mutation. When testing for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), in general, no indication for a deviation from HWE of the loci was seen. However, in



239 Hamborgskoven, only two out of the nine loci were in HWE and for Bolderslev, it was four out of  
240 nine (data not shown).

#### 241 ***Genetic diversity***

242 The nine used SSRs showed numbers of alleles per locus ranging from 5 (Tc951) to 32 (Tc963)  
243 with an average of 15 alleles per locus (Table 3). Private alleles were found in all populations  
244 except Draved (data not shown). The highest rarefacted number of alleles ( $N_{a-rare}$ ) was seen in  
245 Frejlev (7.68) and Bolderslev (7.40), and the lowest was found in Draved (5.75). Generally, the  
246 differences in allelic richness among populations are small. The same is seen for  $H_E$  where the  
247 variation among the nine populations is also limited, ranging from 0.59 in Draved and Lindoe to  
248 0.65 in Frejlev. No noticeable divergence between  $H_O$  and  $H_E$  was observed. With regard to the  
249 fixation index, the only values noticeably different from zero are negative, and therefore there is no  
250 indication of a raised amount of homozygotes and inbreeding (-0.06 in Aasen and -0.09 in  
251 Aabybjerg) (Table 3).

252 Separate estimates of  $H_O$  and  $H_E$  for clones and non-clones show a consistent trend where  $H_O$  for  
253 clones is higher than  $H_E$  leading to a negative fixation index ( $F$ ) (Table 4). For diversity measures,  
254 such as number of alleles, there are no differences between the clonal and non-clonal genotypes  
255 (Table 4).

#### 256 ***Genetic differentiation***

257 The smallest differentiation estimated with  $F_{ST}$  (significance level 0.001) was observed between  
258 Bolderslev-Frejlev and Hamborgskoven-Vindeholme, both with  $F_{ST} = 0.015$ . For  $G'_{ST}$  the lowest  
259 significant estimate was 0.023 between Frejlev and Hamborgskoven (Table 5). Frejlev,  
260 Hamborgskoven and Vindeholme are all on the island Lolland while Bolderslev is located in  
261 southern Jutland, relatively far from the three aforementioned populations (Fig. 1). The largest  
262 differentiation was found between Draved and Aabybjerg placed in southern and northern Jutland,  
263 respectively ( $F_{ST}$  0.046 and  $G'_{ST}$  0.195). The overall  $F_{ST}$  was 0.034 and  $G'_{ST}$  was 0.103 across  
264 populations ( $P < 0.001$ ). In general, Draved was the population most differentiated from the rest,  
265 notwithstanding which population it was compared with. Even Bolderslev, located only 24 km from  
266 Draved, was less differentiated from Aabybjerg ( $G'_{ST}$  0.083) 247 km away, than from Draved  
267 ( $G'_{ST}$  0.120). The lack of geographically explained genetic differentiation was confirmed by the  
268 non-significant Mantel test, with  $r = 0.397$  ( $P = 0.1025$ ) and  $r = 0.411$  ( $P = 0.801$ ) for  $F_{ST}$  and  $G'_{ST}$ ,

269 respectively. Results from the STRUCTURE analysis did not show any clear clustering of  
270 individuals (supplementary materials).

271

### 272 *Clonality and spatial structures*

273 Clonal structures were found in all but one population, namely Draved. Genotypic richness  $R$   
274 ranged from 0.32 in Bolderslev North to 0.96 in Jonstrupvang (Table 6). The number of ramets per  
275 clone is likewise lowest in Jonstrupvang and highest in Bolderslev North where the largest clone  
276 group was also found (consisting of 27 ramets).

277 An analysis of the spatial structures of clone groups and the impact they have on the population  
278 structure was done for Lindoe, and for the two sub-populations in Bolderslev (North and South).  
279 Jonstrupvang and Vindeholme were left out because of few clonal structures and also Vindeholme  
280 because of an expected large edge effect. The mingling index showed a range from 0.47 to 0.58,  
281 indicating a quite similar distribution of clones in the three populations (Table 7). However, when  
282 looking at the distribution between the five diameter classes it is evident that Bolderslev North is  
283 substantially different from the two other populations with only 5 % of the trees in the middle group  
284 (mingling class 0.5 - where two neighbours are different and two the same genotype) and approx.  
285 10 per cent-point more trees having no neighbours with different genotypes than in Bolderslev  
286 South and Lindoe (Fig. 2). This is likely a result of the large genet consisting of 27 ramets (out of  
287 58 trees in total) in Bolderslev North.

288 The estimate of the distance from ramet to centre-point in a genet (with minimum 3 ramets) shows  
289 that clonal groups in Bolderslev cover larger areas than those in Lindoe, with an average distance of  
290 5.7 meters and 7.1 meters in Bolderslev North and South, respectively, and only 3.2 meters in  
291 Lindoe (table 7). One genet in Bolderslev South, consisting of 13 ramets, had an average distance  
292 from ramet to centre of 21.7 meters and it is also in this clone group that the largest distance (44.7  
293 meters) was seen. The smallest spatial distribution of the stand was seen in Lindoe where the  
294 average was 2.8 meters and the maximum distance from centre of the clone group to a ramet was  
295 7.8 meters. A map of the clones' spatial distribution in Bolderslev North is shown in Figure 3.  
296 The distribution of DBH classes in Lindoe and Bolderslev South and North showed both clonal and  
297 non-clonal genets across the whole size range (Fig. 4). In Lindoe and Bolderslev North the largest  
298 tree was non-clonal and in Bolderslev South it was a clonal genet. In Bolderslev North and South  
299 there were only two trees with DBH larger than 50 cm, or approx. 1.1 per cent of the stand, whereas

300 5 per cent of the stand in Lindoe exceeded 50 cm in DBH. The largest proportion of big trees was  
301 found in Jonstrupvang, where 14 out of 80 trees had a DBH above 80 cm and 31.25 per cent  
302 exceeded 50 cm DBH.

## 303 **Discussion**

304 Genetic analysis of 730 trees including 484 genotypes of *T. cordata* across nine Danish populations  
305 showed a gene pool with high diversity among individuals and low differentiation between  
306 populations. In most of the populations abundant clonal structures were observed, but in one  
307 population clonal structures were entirely absent.

### 308 ***Genetic diversity and differentiation***

309 *Tilia cordata* showed a high degree of genetic diversity with small differences in the diversity  
310 measures across the populations with no indication of inbreeding. This is in accordance with  
311 findings from Phuekvilai (2014) that also included populations from Bolderslev and Aabybjerg in  
312 their analysis of genetic diversity in *T. cordata* across Europe. Here the genetic diversity in both  
313 Danish populations was found to be around the average of all the sampled populations (Phuekvilai  
314 2014; table 4.4 p. 64).

315 There was no difference between expected and observed heterozygosity, which confirms that *T.*  
316 *cordata* is an outcrossing species, and there are no signs of inbreeding. It has been suggested that  
317 clonality may result in a population excess in heterozygosity (Balloux, Lehmann, & De Meeûs,  
318 2003; Delmotte et al., 2002; Stoeckel et al., 2006) and preserving genetic diversity, as loss of  
319 genetic diversity through genetic drift is a process associated with sexual propagation. Comparing  
320 clonal genotypes with non-clonal genotypes in three of the populations, a trend formed that the  
321 observed heterozygosity is higher than the expected heterozygosity in the clonal genotypes, which  
322 supports the idea that clonal growth maintains or even increases heterozygosity levels (Delmotte et  
323 al., 2002; Judson & Normark, 1996).

324 From the pairwise  $G''_{ST}$  (and  $F_{ST}$ ) values we see only a modest differentiation among the  
325 populations with an overall  $G''_{ST}$  value of 0.103 and pairwise values ranging from 0.016  
326 (Vindeholme-Frejlev) to 0.196 (Draved-Aabybjerg). Draved forest is the only population that  
327 differs slightly from the rest - pairwise  $G''_{ST}$  values have an average of 0.143, compared to the  
328 overall average of 0.103. It is, however, also the only population with no private alleles. One  
329 explanation for this pattern, where the differentiation is based solely on different allele frequency

distributions, could be a founder effect followed by limited gene flow, and Draved is, in fact, the population with the lowest diversity, although the differences to the remaining populations are small. Draved was an isolated forest up until modern times placed on an island of clayey till of Saalian time covered with sand dunes and there were large bogs around the forest and the sandy soils were mainly covered with heathland (Møller & Bradshaw, 2001). Ecological isolation could therefore potentially be an explanation of why Draved is more differentiated from the rest of the populations as the environment has prevented neighbouring forests to arise, and thereby limited the gene flow. In a common garden experiment, Lobo et al. (2018) found phenology to follow ecoregions, suggesting isolation by environment to be the main driver structuring the populations. They also genotyped the individuals from the common garden experiment and as in our study, only found limited differentiation among populations in the genetic markers. In a study covering most of Europe Phuekvilai (2014) found indications of isolation by distance in both *T. cordata* and *T. platyphyllos*, latitudinal, and with more genetic diversity in the south.

Despite a long period of fragmentation in the Danish populations of *T. cordata*, there is limited genetic differentiation. This could be due to the fact that the fragmentation of the populations is simply recent compared to the long lifetime of the trees (Hamrick, 2004). *T. cordata* trees can live for >450 years (Pigott 2012) and counting in clonal growth thousands of years is not impossible. All of Denmark is believed to have been covered by forest, with *Tilia spp* as dominant species, and what we find today are remnant subpopulations of the very same former population. Generation time in forest trees is generally high, and especially in *T. cordata* where trees do not produce seeds until they reach the crown layer as heat from the sun is needed for seeds to mature (Tal, 2011). Furthermore, as mentioned above, clonal reproduction may also have prevented genetic drift; a process which causes loss of genetic variation, but also genetically differentiates subpopulations with low or no connectivity through gene flow (Delmotte et al., 2002; Judson & Normark, 1996).

In accordance with the low genetic differentiation, we did not find any clear clustering of the Danish populations of *T. cordata*, resulting in a very weak assignment of populations to different clusters in the STRUCTURE analysis, see supplementary material Fig S2. A study of *T. cordata* in Britain covering a larger geographical area likewise only resulted in vague geographic clusters (Logan et al., 2015). Another study by Phuekvilai (2014) on populations across Europe included two of the same populations as used here (Aabybjerg and Bolderslev South). They found slightly higher pairwise  $F_{ST}$  values among these two populations (0.046, which was here 0.021); this might

361 be explained by their use of 13 instead of nine SSRs (Phuekvilai, 2014). In general, that study also  
362 found lower allelic richness (effective number of alleles) - presumably as a function of a larger  
363 sample size in our study (Gorman & Renzi, 1979; Leberg, 2002).

364 *Malus sylvestris*, the European crab apple, is another of the few insect pollinated tree species native  
365 to Denmark and with a similar fragmented distribution. It is mainly found in shelters and in the  
366 forest edge and is not a crown bearing tree as *T. cordata*. A study by Larsen et al. (2006) estimated  
367 a higher genetic diversity expressed by  $H_E$  (0.78) but a similar low differentiation between  
368 populations suggesting a high gene flow despite fragmentation.

369

#### 370 ***How widespread is clonality?***

371 We found clones in eight out of nine populations and substantial clonal structures in three out of  
372 four exhaustive or partly exhaustively sampled populations. In the two Bolderslev populations  
373 (North and South) and Lindoe, the genotypic richness  $R$  was 0.32, 0.37 and 0.45, respectively,  
374 whereas, in Jonstrupvang  $R$  was only 0.96.

375 The average DBH in the above mentioned four populations, that today has been taken out of active  
376 silvicultural management, correlates with the respective genotypic richness  $R$  ( $R^2=0.985$ ,  $p=0.007$ ,  
377 data not shown) so the populations with the largest trees are the populations with the least presence  
378 of clonal propagation. As this result is only based on four populations conclusions cannot be  
379 directly drawn, but according to Pigott (1989), *T. cordata* only produces basal sprouts when the  
380 original stem is lost or damaged. Taken together with these findings it might suggest that, though  
381 stems maybe lost during natural hazards, clones are catalysed by coppicing or other management  
382 interventions, also backed by the known history of the respective populations. Further, flowering in  
383 *T. cordata* is dramatically reduced when coppiced (Waller, Grant, & Bunting, 2012) which  
384 promotes vegetatively propagation and thereby clonal structures in the stand. This suggests that  
385 absence of clonal growth in a *T. cordata* population may indicate a population where very little  
386 management has been carried out. This could also explain why no clones were found in Draved  
387 forest as that has been without management since 1948 and prior to this only under extensive  
388 management, mainly by grazing, up until the 18<sup>th</sup> century and coppicing, which has been mentioned  
389 in management plans in the 19<sup>th</sup> century (Bradshaw, Wolf, & Møller, 2005). If exhaustively  
390 sampling was performed in Draved genotyping may reveal clonal structures, but it seems unlikely  
391 that it would be as extensive as in Bolderslev and Lindoe.

392 Presence of non-clonal trees in all size classes suggests that sexual reproduction, though rare in  
393 some populations, is still an active recruitments mechanism in *T. cordata* and the species should be  
394 considered as partially clonal in Denmark. In a review of *Tilia* spp. vegetative reproduction is  
395 reported to be more frequent than sexual reproduction, and especially so for *T. cordata* (Radoglou  
396 et al. 2009, and references therein). However, this is based on morphological observation. Logan et  
397 al (2019) did not confirm this, and showed that reproduction is largely sexual, confirming our  
398 findings in the Danish populations.

399 Clonal growth is also known for other species of *Tilia*. *Tilia sibirica*, a close relative to *T. cordata*  
400 (Pigott 2012), that only grows in scattered populations in southern Siberia was studied by Logan,  
401 Chytrý & Wolff (2018). They sampled at five locations keeping a distance of at least 10 meters  
402 between sampled trees and taking approx. 20 trees from each location, and found the genotypic  
403 richness to be  $R=0.601$  as a mean over the five populations. They noted that the forest floor was  
404 densely overgrown with tall forbs making it hard for regeneration to break through. The American  
405 species *T. americana* var *caroliniana* has also shown clonal growth. Evans & Morris (2016) studied  
406 two island populations over a period of ten years - observing that the recruitment of new stems was  
407 primarily through basal sprouting. Some seedlings did establish, but they did not survive for long  
408 enough to grow into larger size classes. Despite this observation, they found the genotypic richness  
409 to be 1 and 0.8 respectively on the two islands, indicating little or no clonal growth. However, only  
410 a total of 70 individuals were used for genotyping and it is unclear how the sampling of trees was  
411 done (e.g. exhaustive or stratified sampling). Both studies show larger genotypic richness, meaning  
412 less clonal growth than we found in the populations Lindoe and Bolderslev North and South, we  
413 believe this to be primarily a consequence of different sampling methods; expecting the genotypic  
414 richness to drop in the *T. sibirica* and *T. americana* var *caroliniana* populations if all neighbouring  
415 trees are genotyped. However, it is clear that even though much of the regeneration might be clonal,  
416 variation in genotypes is still preserved and studies of *Vaccinium* shrubs shows that even low rates  
417 of genet input into long-lived clonal populations can maintain genetic diversity over long periods  
418 (Eriksson & Fröborg, 1996; Persson & Gustavsson, 2001).

419 Stand structures expressed by the mingling index showed that clonal growth in *T. cordata* are acting  
420 more as a guerrilla based than a phalanx based growth strategy as the different genotypes are  
421 standing intermixed, ensuring gene flow among the genets and enabling relative high levels of  
422 genetic diversity. No other studies of *Tilia* spp. has used exhaustively sampling (see below) but  
423 similar findings have been seen in *Prunus avium* (Vaughan, Cottrell, Moodley, Connolly, &

424 Russell, 2007) and *Sorbus torminalis* (Hoebee, Menn, Rotach, Finkeldey, & Holderegger, 2006)  
425 where self-incompatibility and mixed genotypes were suggested to effectively reduce the impact of  
426 clonality upon sexual reproduction in *S. torminalis* and this was also found to be more pronounced  
427 in the unmanaged than in the managed stand of *P. avium*. Generally, we found less clonality in the  
428 populations of *T. cordata* than what was reported for both *P. avium* and *S. torminalis* where  
429 genotypic richness for *P. avium* was estimated to  $R=0.286$  in the unmanaged stand and  $R=0.416$  in  
430 the managed, so more clonal structures in the unmanaged site opposite of what we find here in *T.*  
431 *cordata*. Another study of *S. torminalis* including Danish populations found the clonal reproduction  
432 to range from 94 % to 100 % (Kjørup & Kollmann, 2008) which they suggest to be result of very  
433 small populations on the northern edge of the distribution.

## 434 **Conclusions**

435 The present study showed that Danish wild living populations of *T. cordata*, when analysed with  
436 neutral nuclear DNA markers, have a high level of genetic diversity, low differentiation among  
437 populations and no sign of inbreeding. This is despite the species having a highly fragmented  
438 distribution with a presumed low gene flow among populations. Should the changing climate,  
439 giving warmer summers, expand the populations of *T. cordata*, the gene pool is in a state that  
440 indicates no risk for future inbreeding depression.

441 This indicates a robust gene pool with no risk for future inbreeding depression and ample adaptive  
442 power should the populations of *T. cordata* expand due to warmer summers associated with a  
443 changing climate.

444 The species reproduces both sexually and by clonal propagation. However, currently today in most  
445 of the Danish populations clonal propagation is the primary means of recruitment (Bolderslev North,  
446 South and Lindoe) and that clonality may help to maintain heterozygosity in the stands.  
447 Furthermore, the clonal structures in *T. cordata* are, when present, adapted towards a guerrilla  
448 strategy where genotypes are intermixed ensuring a mix of genotypes when sexual reproduction  
449 occurs. Although further research is needed our data indicate that clonal structures are affected by  
450 stand management history and correlate positively with the amount of disturbance,.

451





453 **Acknowledgements**

454 We would like to thank Lars Nørgaard Hansen and Jette Grønlund Cordius for help in the field with  
455 spatial measures and Lene Hasmark Andersen for assistance in the laboratory; also we thank Peter  
456 Friis Møller for samples from Draved forest and improving comments on the manuscript regarding  
457 this location. Finally we would like to thank Sebastian Kepfer Rojas for valuable help with R. This  
458 research was supported financially by the private foundation “15. Juni Fonden”.

459 **References**

- 460 Balloux, F., Lehmann, L., & De Meeûs, T. (2003). The population genetics of clonal and partially  
461 clonal diploids. *Genetics*, 164(4), 1635–1644. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.01.001>
- 462 Bradshaw, R. H. W., Wolf, A., & Møller, P. F. (2005). Long-term succession in a Danish temperate  
463 deciduous forest. *Ecography*, 28(2), 157–164. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0906-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0906-7590.2005.03980.x)  
464 [7590.2005.03980.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0906-7590.2005.03980.x)
- 465 Delmotte, F., Leterme, N., Gauthier, J.-P., Risper, C., & Simon, J.-C. (2002). Genetic architecture of  
466 sexual and asexual populations of the aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* based on allozyme and  
467 microsatellite markers. *Molecular Ecology*, 11(4), 711–723. [https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01478.x)  
468 [294X.2002.01478.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01478.x)
- 469 Dorken, M. E., & Eckert, C. G. (2001). in northern Severely reduced sexual reproduction of a clonal  
470 plant , *Decodon verticillatus* populations ( Lythraceae ). *Journal of Ecology*, 89(3), 339–350.  
471 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2745.2001.00558.x>
- 472 Doust, L. L. (1981). Population dynamics and local specialization in a clonal perennial  
473 (*Ranunculus repens*): *Journal of Ecology*, 69, 743–755.
- 474 Ellstrand, N. C., & Roose, M. L. (1987). Patterns of Genotypic Diversity in Clonal Plant Species.  
475 *American Journal of Botany*, 74(1), 123–131. <https://doi.org/10.1007/sl2229-008-9001-0>
- 476 Eriksson, O., & Fröborg, H. (1996). “Windows of opportunity” for recruitment in long-lived clonal  
477 plants: experimental studies of seedling establishment in *Vaccinium* shrubs. *Canadian Journal*  
478 *of Botany*, 74, 1369–1374. <https://doi.org/10.1139/b96-166>
- 479 Evanno, G., Regnaut, S., & Goudet, J. (2005). Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using  
480 the software STRUCTURE: A simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*, 14(8), 2611–2620.  
481 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02553.x>

- 482 Evans, J. P., & Morris, A. B. (2016). Isolated coastal populations of *Tilia americana* var.  
 483 *Caroliniana* persist long-term through vegetative growth. *American Journal of Botany*, 103(9),  
 484 1687–1693. <https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1600233>
- 485 Francis, R. M. (2016). pophelper: An r package and web app to analyse and visualize population  
 486 structure. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 27–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12509>
- 487 Gorman, G. C., & Renzi, J. (1979). Genetic Distance and Heterozygosity Estimates in  
 488 Electrophoretic Studies : Effects of Sample Size. *Copeia*, 1979(2), 242–249.
- 489 Hamilton, W. D. (1980). Nordic Society Oikos Sex versus Non-Sex versus Parasite. *Oikos*, 35(2),  
 490 282–290.
- 491 Hamrick, J. L. (2004). Response of forest trees to global environmental changes. *Forest Ecology*  
 492 *and Management*, 197, 323–335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2004.05.023>
- 493 Hansen, O. K., Hansen, L. N., Kjær, E. D., & Møller, P. F. (2014). Ny indsigt i de gamle jyske linde  
 494 - opnået via DNA-metoder. In *40 år for træerne : jubilæumsskrift* (pp. 34–43). København:  
 495 Dansk Dendrologisk Forening.
- 496 Hansen, O. K., Thomsen, P., & Rasmussen, C. W. (2014). DNA markers provide insight about  
 497 common lime in historical plantings - An example from the Royal Danish Gardens. *Urban*  
 498 *Forestry and Urban Greening*, 13(3), 543–552. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2014.04.001>
- 499 Hedrick, P. W. (1999). Perspective: highly variable loci and their interpretation in evolution and  
 500 conservation. *International Journal of Organic Evolution*, 53(2), 313–318.
- 501 Hedrick, P. W. (2005). A standardized genetic differentiation measure. *Evolution; International*  
 502 *Journal of Organic Evolution*, 59(8), 1633–1638. [https://doi.org/Doi 10.1554/05-076.1](https://doi.org/Doi%2010.1554/05-076.1)
- 503 Hermy, M., Honnay, O., & Firbank, L. (1999). An ecological comparison between ancient and  
 504 other forest plant species of Europe, and the implications for forest conservation. *Biological*  
 505 *Conservation*, 91, 9–22.
- 506 Hoebee, S. E., Menn, C., Rotach, P., Finkeldey, R., & Holderegger, R. (2006). Spatial genetic  
 507 structure of *Sorbus torminalis*: The extent of clonal reproduction in natural stands of a rare tree  
 508 species with a scattered distribution. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 226(1–3), 1–8.  
 509 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.12.024>
- 510 Honnay, O., & Bossuyt, B. (2005). Prolonged clonal growth: escape route or route to extinction?  
 511 *Oikos*, 108(2), 427–432. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2005.13569.x>

- 512 Houmark-Nielsen, M. (1989). The Last Interglacial-Glacial Cycle in Denmark. *Quaternary*  
513 *International*, 3/4, 31–39.
- 514 IPCC. (2014). *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and*  
515 *III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Geneva,  
516 Switzerland.
- 517 Judson, O. P., & Normark, B. B. (1996). Ancient asexual scandals. *Trends in Ecology and*  
518 *Evolution*, 11(2 SPEC. ISS.), 41–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(96\)81040-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(96)81040-8)
- 519 Kalinowski, S. T. (2005). HP-RARE 1.0: A computer program for performing rarefaction on  
520 measures of allelic richness. *Molecular Ecology Notes*, 5(1), 187–189.  
521 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2004.00845.x>
- 522 Kalinowski, S. T., Taper, M. L., & Marshall, T. C. (2007). Revising how the computer program  
523 cervus accommodates genotyping error increases success in paternity assignment. *Molecular*  
524 *Ecology*, 16(5), 1099–1106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2007.03089.x>
- 525 Kjørup, K., & Kollmann, J. (2008). Low genetic diversity in small peripheral populations of a rare  
526 European tree ( *Sorbus torminalis* ) dominated by clonal reproduction. *Conservation Genetics*,  
527 (9), 1533–1539. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-007-9492-y>
- 528 Larsen, A. S., Asmussen, C. B., Coart, E., Olrik, D. C., & Kjær, E. D. (2006). Hybridization and  
529 genetic variation in Danish populations of European crab apple ( *Malus sylvestris* ). *Tree*  
530 *Genetics & Genomes*, (2), 86–97. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-005-0030-0>
- 531 Larsen, J. B. (2012). Close-to-Nature Forest Management: The Danish Approach to Sustainable  
532 Forestry. In J. J. Diez & J. M. García (Eds.), *Sustainable Forest Management - Current*  
533 *Research* (pp. 199–218). IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/32009>
- 534 Lawesson, J. E. (2004). Ancient forests in Denmark and the importance of Tilia. *Forest*  
535 *Biodiversity: Lessons from History for Conservation*, 10, 0–97.
- 536 Leberg, P. L. (2002). Estimating allelic richness: Effects of sample size and bottlenecks. *Molecular*  
537 *Ecology*, 11, 2445–2449. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2002.01612.x>
- 538 Lobo, A., Kim, O., Jon, H., Hansen, K., Ortvald, E., Jacobsen, B., & Dahl, E. (2018). Local  
539 adaptation through genetic differentiation in highly fragmented Tilia cordata populations,  
540 (October 2017), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4131>

- 541 Logan, S. A., Phuekvilai, P., Sanderson, R., & Wolff, K. (2019). Reproductive and population  
542 genetic characteristics of leading-edge and central populations of two temperate forest tree  
543 species and implications for range expansion. *Forest Ecology and Management*,  
544 433(November 2018), 475–486. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.11.024>
- 545 Logan, S. A., Chytrý, M., & Wolff, K. (2018). Genetic diversity and demographic history of the  
546 Siberian lime (*Tilia sibirica*). *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*,  
547 33(July 2017), 9–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2018.04.005>
- 548 Logan, S. A., Phuekvilai, P., & Wolff, K. (2015). Ancient woodlands in the limelight: delineation  
549 and genetic structure of ancient woodland species *Tilia cordata* and *Tilia platyphyllos*  
550 (*Tiliaceae*) in the UK. *Tree Genetics and Genomes*, 11(3). [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-015-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11295-015-0872-z)  
551 0872-z
- 552 Lowe, A. J., Cavers, S., Boshier, D., Breed, M. F., & Hollingsworth, P. M. (2015). The resilience of  
553 forest fragmentation genetics—no longer a paradox—we were just looking in the wrong place.  
554 *Heredity*, 115(2). <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2015.40>
- 555 Marshall, T. C., Slate, J., Kruuk, L. E. B., & Pemberton, J. M. (1998). Statistical confidence for  
556 likelihood-based paternity inference in natural populations. *Molecular Ecology*, 7(5), 639–655.  
557 <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00374.x>
- 558 Meirmans, P. G., & Hedrick, P. W. (2011). Assessing population structure: FST and related  
559 measures. *Molecular Ecology Resources*, 11(1), 5–18. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02927.x)  
560 0998.2010.02927.x
- 561 Møller, P. F., & Bradshaw, R. H. W. (2001). Draved skov- et dansk forskningsprojekt gennem mere  
562 end 50 år. *Geologi Nyt*. GEUS København.
- 563 Olesen, M., Madsen, K. S., Ludwigsen, C. A., Boberg, F., Christensen, T., Cappelen, J., ...  
564 Hesselbjerg Christensen, J. (2014). *Fremtidige klimaforandringer i Danmark*. *Danmarks*  
565 *Klimacenter rapport*. <https://doi.org/978-87-7478-616-0>
- 566 Overfredningsnævnet. (1957). *Reg. nr.: 02111.00 Fredningen vedrører: Maribo Søerne*.
- 567 Peakall, R., & Smouse, P. (2012). GenAlEx 6.5: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic soft-  
568 ware for teaching and research – an update. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, 1, 6–8.  
569 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2005.01155.x>
- 570 Persson, H. A., & Gustavsson, B. A. (2001). The extent of clonality and genetic diversity in

571 lingonberry (*Vaccinium vitis-idaea* L.) revealed by RAPDs and leaf-shape analysis. *Molecular*  
 572 *Ecology*, 10(6), 1385–1397. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2001.01280.x>

573 Phuekvilai, P. (2014). *Relicts, Refugia and Reticulation: A study of Population History, Hybrids*  
 574 *and Phylogeny in the Long-Lived Flowering Tree Genus Tilia*. Newcastle University.

575 Phuekvilai, P., & Wolff, K. (2013). Characterization of Microsatellite Loci in *Tilia platyphyllos*  
 576 (Malvaceae) and Cross-Amplification in Related Species. *Applications in Plant Sciences*, 1(4),  
 577 1200386. <https://doi.org/10.3732/apps.1200386>

578 Pigott, C. D. (1989). Factors controlling the distribution of *Tilia cordata* Mill at the northern limits  
 579 of its geographical range IV . Estimated ages of the trees.

580 Pigott, C. D. (1991). *Tilia Cordata* Miller. *The Journal of Ecology*, 79(4), 1147.  
 581 <https://doi.org/10.2307/2261105>

582 Pigott, C. D. (2012). *Lime-trees and basswoods : a biological monograph of the genus Tilia*.  
 583 Cambridge University Press.

584 Pigott, C. D., & Huntley, J. P. (1981). Factors controlling the distribution of *Tilia cordata* at its  
 585 northern limit of its geographical limits. III. Nature and Causes of Seed Sterility. *New*  
 586 *Phytologist*, 87(4), 817–839. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1981.tb01716.x>

587 Pommerening, A. (2002). Approaches to quantifying forest structures. *Forestry*, 75(3), 305–324.  
 588 <https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/75.3.305>

589 Pritchard, J. K., Stephens, M., & Donnelly, P. (2000). Inference of Population Structure Using  
 590 Multilocus Genotype Data. *Genetics*, 155(2), 945–59. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01758.x)  
 591 [8286.2007.01758.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01758.x)

592 Rackham, O. (2008). Ancient woodlands: modern threats. *New Phytologist*, 180(3), 571–586.  
 593 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02579.x>

594 Radoglou, K., Dobrowolska, D., Spyroglou, G., & Nicolescu, V.-N. (2009). A review on the  
 595 ecology and silviculture of limes: (*Tilia cordata* Mill., *Tilia platyphyllos* Scop, and *Tilia*  
 596 *tomentosa* Moench.) in Europe. *Die Bodenkultur*, 60(3), 9–19.  
 597 <https://doi.org/http://www.valbro.uni-freiburg.de/>

598 Rehfeldt, N. (1994). *Fra Istid til Bøgetid* (2nd ed.). Naturhistorisk museum.

599 Skov- og Naturstyrelsen. (1998). *Biologiske Undersøgelser Bolderslev og Uge Skov*.

- 600 Stoeckel, S., Grange, J., Fernández-Manjarres, J. F., Bilger, I., Frascaria-Lacoste, N., & Mariette, S.  
 601 (2006). Heterozygote excess in a self-incompatible and partially clonal forest tree species -  
 602 *Prunus avium* L. *Molecular Ecology*, 15(8), 2109–2118. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02926.x)  
 603 294X.2006.02926.x
- 604 Stuefer, J. F., Van Hulzen, J. B., & During, H. J. (2002). A genotypic trade-off between the number  
 605 and size of clonal offspring in the stoloniferous herb *Potentilla reptans*. *Journal of*  
 606 *Evolutionary Biology*, 15(5), 880–884. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1420-9101.2002.00435.x>
- 607 Sunnucks, P. (2000). Efficient genetic markers for population biology. *Trends in Ecology &*  
 608 *Evolution*, 15(5), 199–203.
- 609 Tal, O. (2011). Flowering phenological pattern in crowns of four temperate deciduous tree species  
 610 and its reproductive implications. *Plant Biology*, 13(SUPPL. 1), 62–70.  
 611 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.2010.00386.x>
- 612 Tsitrone, A., Rousset, F., & David, P. (2001). Heterosis, Marker Mutational Processes and  
 613 Population Inbreeding History. *Genetics*, (159), 1845–1859.
- 614 Turner, J. (1962). the Tilia Decline: an Anthropogenic Interpretation. *New Phytologist*, 61(3), 328–  
 615 341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1962.tb06304.x>
- 616 Vaughan, S. P., Cottrell, J. E., Moodley, D. J., Connolly, T., & Russell, K. (2007). Clonal structure  
 617 and recruitment in British wild cherry (*Prunus avium* L.). *Forest Ecology and Management*,  
 618 242(2–3), 419–430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2007.01.059>
- 619 Waller, M., Grant, M. J., & Bunting, M. J. (2012). Modern pollen studies from coppiced woodlands  
 620 and their implications for the detection of woodland management in Holocene pollen records.  
 621 *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, 187, 11–28.  
 622 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2012.08.008>
- 623 Wicksell, U. (1998). *En foreløbig opgørelse af Lindeforekomster i Danmark*. Hørsholm.
- 624 Worsøe, E. (1988). *Jonstrup Vang Historien om et skovlandskab fra middelalderen til nutid*.  
 625 Skippershoved.
- 626 Wright, S. (1943). Isolation by distance. *Genetics*, 28, 114–128.
- 627 Young, A., Boyle, T., & Brown, T. (1996). The population genetic consequences of habitat  
 628 fragmentation for plants. *Tree*, 11(10), 413–418.

629 **Tables**

630

631 **Table 1** Sampling of nine populations across Denmark, with sampling, either by stratified sampling  
632 or by exhaustively sampling, indicated. Partly exhaustively sampled refers to populations where all  
633 trees have been sampled within a selected part of the forest (sub-populations).

Population	No. of samples	Sub-population	No. of samples	Sampling method	Coordinates
<b>Bolderslev all</b>	286				55°00'04"N 9°21'25"E
		B. South	175	Partly exhaustively sampled	
		B. south dyke	22	Stratified sampling	
		B. North 1	58	Partly exhaustively sampled	
		B. North 2	8	Partly exhaustively sampled	
		B. north dyke	23	Stratified sampling	
<b>Draved</b>	40			Stratified sampling	55°00'51"N 8°57'55"E
<b>Frejlev</b>	32			Stratified sampling	54°41'31"N 11°40'44"E
<b>Hamborgskoven</b>	48			Stratified sampling	54°47'11"N 11°48'28"E
<b>Jonstrupvang</b>	80			Exhaustively sampled	55°45'39"N 12°22'37"E
<b>Aasen</b>	32			Stratified sampling	55°27'54"N 12°09'03"E
<b>Lindoe</b>	147			Exhaustively sampled	54°43'01"N 11°34'30"E
<b>Vindeholme</b>	79			Partly exhaustively sampled	54°44'34"N 11°06'19"E
		V. East (not thinned)	47		
		V. West (thinned)	32		
<b>Aabybjerg</b>	30			Stratified sampling	57°12'33"N 9°44'49"E

634

635

636 **Table 2** Number of samples, clones, genotypes and presence of *T. platyphyllos* and *T. x europaea* in  
 637 each population. No. of samples: total amount of trees sampled, *T. platyphyllos* and *T. x europaea*:  
 638 number of samples where marker Tc918 amplified, Clones: number of genetes that consist of more  
 639 than one ramet with ramets in brackets the total number of ramets, number of genotypes: number of  
 640 unique genotypes of *T. cordata*

Population	No. of samples	<i>T. platyphyllos</i> and <i>T. x europaea</i>	Clones	No. of Genotypes
			Genets (ramets)	<i>T. cordata</i>
Bolderslev all	286	19	52 (203)	117
Draved	40	0	0	40
Frejlev	32	2	2 (4)	28
Hamborgskoven	48	8	4 (9)	35
Jonstrupvang	80	0	3 (6)	77
Aasen	32	1	6 (13)	24
Lindoe	147	14	28 (95)	66
Vindeholme	79	0	7 (16)	70
Aabybjerg	30	0	2 (4)	28
<i>All (sum)</i>	<i>774</i>	<i>44</i>	<i>104 (350)</i>	<i>485</i>



645 **Table 3** Genetic diversity of *T. cordata* for each of the nine populations. N is the number of  
646 genotypes, Na the number of alleles, Na-rare the rarefacted number of alleles, Ne the effective  
647 number of alleles, H<sub>O</sub> observed heterozygosity, H<sub>E</sub> expected heterozygosity and F the fixation  
648 index.

Population	N	Na	Na-rare	Ne	H <sub>O</sub>	H <sub>E</sub>	F
<b>Bolderslev all</b>	116	10.89	7.40	4.77	0.62	0.64	0.01
<b>Draved</b>	40	6.33	5.75	3.51	0.59	0.59	-0.01
<b>Frejlev</b>	28	8.00	7.68	4.53	0.62	0.65	0.03
<b>Hamborgskoven</b>	35	7.89	7.00	3.82	0.63	0.63	0.01
<b>Jonstrupvang</b>	77	8.44	6.51	3.96	0.61	0.63	0.01
<b>Aasen</b>	24	6.33	6.33	3.66	0.63	0.60	-0.06
<b>Lindoe</b>	66	7.78	6.43	3.80	0.59	0.59	-0.01
<b>Vindeholme</b>	70	7.78	6.21	3.88	0.60	0.61	0.00
<b>Aabybjerg</b>	28	6.78	6.57	4.12	0.68	0.63	-0.09
<b>All</b>	484	7.80	6.65	4.01	0.62	0.62	-0.01

649  
650  
651  
652

653 **Table 4** Genetic diversity separately for clonal and non-clonal genotypes of the populations in  
 654 Bolderslev, including all sub-populations, and Lindoe. N is the number of genotypes, Na the  
 655 number of alleles, Na-rare the rarefacted number of alleles, Ne the effective number of alleles, Ho  
 656 observed heterozygosity, He expected heterozygosity and F the fixation index.

657

Population		N	Na	Na-rare	Ne	Ho	He	F
Lindoe	clone	29	6.44	4.33	3.56	0.61	0.59	-0.03
	non-clone	37	7.22	4.39	3.72	0.57	0.59	0.03
Bolderslev all	clone	42	8.22	4.81	4.52	0.63	0.63	-0.02
	non-clone	74	10.22	4.79	4.60	0.62	0.64	0.02

658  
 659  
 660  
 661

662 **Table 5** Genetic differentiation among populations,  $F_{ST}$  below diagonal and  $G''_{ST}$  above. All  
 663 numbers are significant on a 0.001 level except for the three marked with †

	Bolderslev all	Frejlev	Hamborg- skoven	Jonstrup vang	Lindoe	Vindeholme	Aasen	Aabybjerg	Draved
<b>Bolderslev all</b>	0	0.053	0.083	0.102	0.115	0.081	0.115	0.083	0.120
<b>Frejlev</b>	0.015	0	0.023	0.088	0.103	0.016†	0.096	0.094	0.106
<b>Hamborgskoven</b>	0.020	0.012†	0	0.084	0.108	0.053	0.083	0.079	0.128
<b>Jonstrupvang</b>	0.022	0.022	0.021	0	0.065	0.091	0.091	0.109	0.157
<b>Lindoe</b>	0.025	0.026	0.027	0.016	0	0.104	0.119	0.112	0.141
<b>Vindeholme</b>	0.018	0.009†	0.015	0.021	0.025	0	0.104	0.122	0.151
<b>Aasen</b>	0.028	0.028	0.025	0.024	0.031	0.028	0	0.149	0.149
<b>Aabybjerg</b>	0.021	0.026	0.022	0.026	0.028	0.029	0.038	0	0.195
<b>Draved</b>	0.028	0.028	0.032	0.036	0.034	0.036	0.039	0.046	0

664  
 665

666 **Table 6** Clonality shows the total number of samples in each of the exhaustively sampled  
 667 populations; sub-samples for Bolderslev North and South, Jonstrupvang, Lindoe and Vindeholme,  
 668 including information on number of genotypes, no. of *T. cordata* clone groups, and ramet per clone  
 669 group and genotypic richness ( $R = (G-1)/(n-1)$ )

Population	No. Of samples	No. of Genotypes <i>T. cordata</i>	Clone groups Genets (ramets)	Ramets per clone group	Genotypic richness R
B. South	162	66	30(126)	4.20	0.37
B. North	57	19	8(46)	5.75	0.32
Jonstrupvang	80	77	3(6)	2.00	0.96
Lindoe	133	66	28(95)	3.39	0.45
Vindeholme	79	70	7(16)	2.29	0.88

670  
 671  
  
 672  
  
 673  
  
 674  
  
 675  
  
 676  
  
 677  
  
 678  
  
 679  
  
 680  
  
 681

682 **Table 7** Spatial structures of three exhaustively sampled populations. Calculated mingling index  
683 and the spatial distribution of clone groups expressed as distance from centre of a genet to ramets.

	Mingling index	Distance from clone centre [meter] (>2 ramets)	
		Average	max
Lindoe	0.58	3.2	7.4
B. North	0.47	5.7	12.1
B South	0.52	7.1	44.7

684  
685  
686

687   Figure legends

688   **Figure 1** - Map of the nine locations across Denmark, yellow shows the exhaustively sampled sites

689   and blue the rest

690

691

692   **Figure 2** Distribution of mingling classes in per cent for the three populations, Bolderslev North,

693   Bolderslev South and Lindoe.

694

695

696   **Figure 3** Spatial distribution of trees in sub-population Bolderslev North (55°00'14"N 9°21'25"E),

697   red dots mark trees with unique genotypes and black signs mark the different clonal groups at the

698   site

699

700

701   **Figure 4** Diameter distribution shown as size classes in 5 cm intervals for the four exhaustively

702   sampled populations, the y-axis are shown as a percentage of the whole population

703